

Your reference: R53129

Friday, 24<sup>th</sup> November 2017

Mr John McKenzie  
Legal Services Commissioner  
Office of the Legal Services Commissioner  
Level 9, 75 Castlereagh Street  
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr McKenzie

***Re: Complaint about Fiona Seaton - request for Internal Review***

I had honestly thought that the workings of your office had reached their pinnacle in their levels of farce, however, I was proved wrong when I yesterday evening received your letter of 17<sup>th</sup> November 2017.

You have denied my request for an internal review of the Law Society's decision to summarily dismiss my complaint about Fiona Seaton, on the grounds that it is not in the public interest to do so, not in my private interests to do so (whatever that means), and that Fiona Seaton's allegations of serious criminal conduct against me were written in a private E-mail to another lawyer, as well as claiming that your office will not be drawn into the ongoing conflict between me and Terence Goldberg, and now Fiona Seaton.

To put it quite simply the matter is not a conflict. This matter originated, as you well know, by the fact that Terence Goldberg acted for the four plaintiffs in Supreme Court proceedings, and yet in an Application for Assessment of Solicitor/Client Costs, Terence Goldberg made the untrue statement that he acted for the sixth defendant in such proceedings and went on to sue that sixth defendant for his costs. That is an act of fraud.

You, yourself, have dishonestly stated to me that Terence Goldberg acted for the four plaintiffs **and** the sixth defendant in those proceedings, knowing full well that such statement was both untrue and unable to be true, in an attempt to cover up the fraud that has taken place.

It is clear to me, as well as to many others within the legal industry, that you will go to any lengths to cover up wrongdoing by solicitors at Turner Freeman Lawyers. That statement is

hardly in dispute. I would also imagine that the staff working in your office would also be well aware of such and there would be a certain level of angst at your deceitful shenanigans.

Let me go back to Fiona Seaton for a moment. Fiona Seaton stated that I had a '*documented history of violence*'. Where is this documentation? Why was it never requested of Ms Seaton? The reason being, of course, is that you know very well that such documentation does not exist.

In fact, in your letter, you acknowledge that the Law Society did not refer to any material establishing a basis for Ms Seaton's statements, yet the Law Society dismissed my complaint nonetheless as 'lacking in substance or misconceived'. This is nonsensical.

Quite frankly, this whole matter now needs to be brought to public attention, and my letters to you (including this one), and more importantly, your letters to me, will now be placed into the public domain. It is simply not acceptable for an authority such as the Office of the Legal Services Commissioner to continually cover up significant solicitor misconduct, including that of fraud.

I seriously have to ask myself the question, as others presently are so doing, as to why you continually cover up solicitor wrongdoing, and as we have seen, the cover ups could not be more obvious. *Cui bono?*

A copy of this letter and a copy of your letter of 17<sup>th</sup> November 2017 will be provided to the Independent Commission Against Corruption.

Yours faithfully



Symn Waters