

Friday, 25<sup>th</sup> August 2017

Ms Natalie O'Halloran  
Solicitor  
Professional Standards  
Law Society of NSW  
170 Phillip Street  
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Ms O'Halloran

**Re: *Complaint about Fiona Seaton***

I acknowledge receipt of and thank you for your letter of 21<sup>st</sup> August 2017, which I received yesterday evening. While you have not requested any response from me, I feel it is important to state the following.

As you know, Fiona Seaton in a letter to DG Thompson Lawyers of 17<sup>th</sup> February 2017 made the false and untrue claims that I am "*a dangerous individual with a documented history of violence*", which formed the basis of this complaint. Ms Seaton repeated those claims in subsequent letters to the Law Society.

I shall deal firstly with Ms Seaton's statement in her letter to the Law Society of 14<sup>th</sup> August 2017 that some of the documents I provided to the Law Society during the course of this complaint support Ms Seaton's description of me.

The Law Society will see very clearly on reading all such documentation that there is not even a suggestion of any violent or even improper conduct on my part. Ms Seaton's comments, I believe, are an attempt to mislead the Law Society.

Ms Seaton also contends in her letter to the Law Society that it is somehow acceptable to use such untrue descriptions of me as such claims were written in a letter as part of Court proceedings (of which I am not a part), and Ms Seaton therefore suggests she is at liberty to make any statements she pleases. This is not so.

I refer the Law Society to the Solicitors' Rules, and in particular Rule 4.1.2, Rule 4.1.4, Rule 21.1.3, Rule 21.2, and Rule 21.4.

Ms Seaton goes on to say that my complaint does not disclose any unethical conduct on her part. Quite obviously, I disagree.

The claims as made by Fiona Seaton in her letter of 17<sup>th</sup> February 2017 are false and Ms Seaton should not have made them. Such false claims caused extreme distress to not only me, but also to those around me.

It is also important to mention that Ms Seaton has not produced a shred of evidence to prove her claims, and I contend that Ms Seaton wrote such statements to cause both harm and embarrassment while knowing such claims were untrue.

It goes without saying, if Ms Seaton had documentation justifying her claims, such documentation would have been produced during the course of this complaint. None has been.

Ms Seaton's conduct is not acceptable and must be dealt with accordingly.

Yours faithfully

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Symn Waters". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large 'S' and 'W'.

Symn Waters